UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,101	11/25/2003	Hideo Maki	8043-1019	4468
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/06/2007 OMPSON		EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			ELALLAM, AHMED	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
incline to to,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2616	
	:			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/720,101	MAKI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		AHMED ELALLAM	2616		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ag	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period f	or Reply				
WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioc ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. JOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1\\\ \(\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 111	December 2006			
		is action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		e prosecution as to the marits is		
<u>ا</u> رد	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·	٠.	
	olosed in absordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are pending in the applica	ition.			
	4a).Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	•		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examin	•			
			hinatad ta butha Fusiana		
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2006 is/		· •		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :	` '		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
انا(۱۱	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached C	Diffice Action or form P1O-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
u,		te have been received			
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,			
	application from the International Burea	-	ceived in this National Stage		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	naivad		
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies flot re	CGIVGU.		
Attachmen	it(e)				
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmany (PTO-412)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		fail Date		
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	mal Patent Application		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to Amendment filed on 12/11/2006. The Amendment has been entered.

Claims 8 and 9 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Regarding claims 8 and 9, the phrase "said LAN device being adapted to communicate with another said LAN device" is confusing because the first occurrence of "said LAN device" can be interpreted as the same as the second occurrence of "said LAN device", which imply that the device communicate with itself which is absurd. And confusing.

Additionally, the phrase "said LAN device" on line 14 is confusing because as indicated above, there are two "said wireless device", and it is not clear to which one is referred among the two.

Regarding claim 9, claim 9 depends from claim 8, thus it is subject to the same rejections.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,101

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shostak, US 2004/0043797.

Regarding claim 8, with reference to figure 1, Shostak discloses wireless local area network (LAN) system comprising:

A plurality of PDA or computers devices, see paragraph [0027], each PDA has a transmitter and receiver for communicating with at least one other PDA or computer, the devices are battery-powered communication devices and operating in a low-power "sleep" mode in which both the radio transmitter and receiver (claimed LAN device) are inactive, the receiver periodically awakens to listen for a beacon signal transmitted by the access point with which it is currently associated [0002], If the device receives a beacon signal indicating that there is buffered data, the device requests delivery of the data. If there is no such signal, the device returns to the "sleep" mode, [0003], wherein the devices exchange data in the form of IP protocol, [0008] (Claimed plurality of computers that each has a power source and a wireless LAN device, said LAN device having a source of internal power and an energy-saving mode in which said LAN device is in a sleep state, said LAN device being adapted to communicate with another said LAN device through an access point using an internet protocol (IP); wherein said LAN

Application/Control Number: 10/720,101

Art Unit: 2616

device is adapted to waken from the sleep state to respond to a beacon signal transmitted from the access point at predetermined time intervals and to determine whether a message addressed to a first one of the computers associated with the respective said LAN device is to be received; wherein said LAN device is adapted to turn ON the first computer when the beacon signal indicates that the message is to be received and to return to the sleep state when the beacon message does not indicate that the message is to be received).

Shostak while specifying the devices are battery-powered devices, it doesn't specify the receiver of one device has its own internal power to be used in response to the beacon signal when the computer is not on and to use the power source of the computer when the computer is ON.

However, as evidenced by the low-powered mode of operation of Shostak devices, the associated device hardware (claimed computer) must be inactive during the sleep time while activated if there is buffered data from the access point to be received using the battery.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to rearrange the source of power between the receiver and other associated device hardware as part of a design choice. A person of skill would recognize rearranging the battery-powered source of Shostak either as one single source or separate sources of power since it would make no difference in the total consumption of power of a given device.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 9, it is implicit to Shostak to return to the sleep state when the battery source responds to the beacon signal as evidenced by the sleeping mode of operation indicated above claim 8.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,101

Art Unit: 2616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AHMED ELALLAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3097. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, To Doris can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.E Examiner Art Unit 2616 3/2/07

> DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600